


I'm not robot  reCAPTCHA

Continue

Zeroth law of thermodynamics is related to

1. Consider the following statements:
1. Zeroth law of thermodynamics is related to temperature
2. Entropy is related to first law of thermodynamics
3. Internal energy of an ideal gas is a function of temperature and pressure
4. Van der Waals' equation is related to an ideal gas
Which of the above statements is/are correct?
(a) 1 only
(b) 2, 3 and 4
(c) 1 and 3
(d) 2 and 4
Option (a) is correct
Entropy is related to second law of thermodynamics. Internal Energy = function of temperature only
Van der Wall's equation related is to real gas.
2. Two blocks which are at different states are brought into contact with each other and allowed to reach a final state of thermal equilibrium. The final temperature attained is specified by the
(a) Zeroth law of thermodynamics
(b) First law of thermodynamics
(c) Second law of thermodynamics
(d) Third law of thermodynamics
Option (a) is correct.
3. Match List I with List II and select the correct answer:
List I
List II
A. The entropy of a pure crystalline substance is zero at absolute zero temperature
1. First law of thermodynamics
B. Spontaneous processes occur in a certain direction
2. Second law of thermodynamics
C. If two bodies are in thermal equilibrium with a third body, then they are also in thermal equilibrium with each other
3. Third law of thermodynamics
D. The law of conservation of energy
4. Zeroth law of thermodynamics
energy.
.
A
B
C
D
(a) 2
3
4
1
(b) 3
2
1
4
(c) 3
2
4
1
(d) 2
3
1
4
4. Zeroth Law of thermodynamics states that
(a) two thermodynamic systems are always in thermal equilibrium with each other.
(b) if two systems are in thermal equilibrium, then the third system will also be in thermal equilibrium
(c) two systems not in thermal equilibrium with a third system are also not in thermal equilibrium with it
(d) When two systems are in thermal equilibrium with a third system, they are in thermal equilibrium
Discuss below to share your knowledge
The Zeroth Law of Thermodynamics states that if two systems are in thermodynamic equilibrium with a third system, the two original systems are in thermal equilibrium with each other. Basically, if system A is in thermal equilibrium with system C and system B is also in thermal equilibrium with system C, system A and system B are in thermal equilibrium with each other. Essentially, two systems that are in thermodynamic equilibrium will not exchange any heat. Systems in thermodynamic equilibrium will have the same temperature. In 1872 James Clerk Maxwell wrote: "If when two bodies are placed in thermal communication, one of the two bodies loses heat, and the other gains heat, that body which gives out heat is said to have a higher temperature than that which receives heat from it." And, "If when two bodies are placed in thermal communication neither of them loses or gains heat, the two bodies are said to have equal temperature or the same temperature. The two bodies are then said to be in thermal equilibrium." Maxwell also stated, "Bodies whose temperatures are equal to that of the same body have themselves equal temperatures." In 1897 Max Planck said, "If a body, A, be in thermal equilibrium with two other bodies, B and C, then B and C are in thermal equilibrium with one another." Petrucci, Harwood, Herring, and Madura. General Chemistry: Principles and Modern Applications. 9th ed. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Pearson Education, 2007. Muller, Ingo, and Wolf Weiss. Entropy and Energy A Universal Competition. Germany: Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2005. eBook. Exercise
{{Pageindex|1}}
1 kg of water at 10° C is added to 10 kg of water at 50° C. What is the temperature of the water when it reaches thermal equilibrium?
Answer 46.36° C
Principle stating if two systems are in thermal equilibrium with another, they are with each other
ThermodynamicsThe classical Carnot heat engine
Branches
Classical
Statistical
Chemical
Quantum
thermodynamics
Equilibrium / Non-equilibrium
Laws
Zeroth
First
Second
Third
Systems
Closed system
Isolated system
State
Equation of state
Ideal gas
Real gas
State of matter
Phase (matter)
Equilibrium
Control volume
Instruments
Processes
Isobaric
Isochoric
Isothermal
Adiabatic
Isentropic
Isenthalpic
Quasistatic
Polytropic
Free expansion
Reversibility
Irreversibility
Endoreversibility
Cycles
Heat engines
Heat pumps
Thermal efficiency
System properties
Note: Conjugate variables in italics
Property diagrams
Intensive and extensive properties
Process functions
Work
Heat
Functions of state
Temperature / Entropy (introduction)
Pressure / Volume
Chemical potential / Particle number
Vapor quality
Reduced properties
Material propreties
Property databases
Specific heat capacity
c =

{\displaystyle c=}

T

∂
S

{\displaystyle \partial S}

N

∂
T

{\displaystyle \partial T}

Compressibility
β =

−

{\displaystyle \beta =-}

1

{\displaystyle 1}

∂
V

{\displaystyle \partial V}

V

{\displaystyle V}

∂
p

{\displaystyle \partial p}

Thermal expansion
α =

{\displaystyle \alpha =}

1

{\displaystyle 1}

∂
V

{\displaystyle \partial V}

∂
T

{\displaystyle \partial T}

Equations
Carnot's theorem
Clausius theorem
Clausius theorem
Fundamental relation
Ideal gas law
Maxwell relations
Onsager reciprocal relations
Bridgman's equations
Table of thermodynamic equations
Potentials
Free energy
Free entropy
Internal energy
U (S , V)

{\displaystyle U(S,V)}

Enthalpy
H (S , p) = U + p
V

{\displaystyle H(S,p)=U+pV}

Helmholtz free energy
A (T , V) = U − T
S

{\displaystyle A(T,V)=U-TS}

Gibbs free energy
G (T , p) = H − T
S

{\displaystyle G(T,p)=H-TS}

History
Culture
History
General
Entropy
Gas laws
"Perpetual motion" machines
Philosophy
Entropy and time
Entropy and life
Brownian ratchet
Maxwell's demon
Heat death paradox
Loschmidt's paradox
Synergetics
Theories
Caloric theory
Vis viva ("living force")
Mechanical equivalent of heat
Motive power
Key publications
"An Experimental Enquiry Concerning . . . Heat"
"O n the Equilibrium of Heterogeneous Substances"
"Reflections on the Motive Power of Fire"
"Timelines"
Thermodynamics
Heat engines
ArtEducation
Maxwell's thermodynamic surface
Entropy as energy dispersal
Scientists
Bernoulli
Boltzmann
Carnot
Clauspyron
Clausius
Carathéodory
Duhem
Gibbs
von Helmholtz
Joule
Maxwell
von Mayer
Onsager
Rankine
Smeaton
Stahl
Thompson
Thomson
van der Waals
Waterston
Other
Nucleation
Self-assembly
Self-organization
Order and disorder
Category
The zeroth law of thermodynamics states that if two thermodynamic systems are each in thermal equilibrium with a third system, then they are in thermal equilibrium with each other.[1][2][3] Accordingly, thermal equilibrium between systems is a transitive relation. Two systems are said to be in thermal equilibrium with respect to each other if they are linked by a wall permeable only to heat and they do not change over time.[4] As a convenience of language, the same is also sometimes said of unlinked systems that would not change if they did have such a wall. The physical meaning is expressed by Maxwell in the statement: "All heat is of the same kind".[5] Another statement of the law is "All diathermal walls are equivalent".[6](pp24, 144) The law is important for the mathematical formulation of thermodynamics, which depends on the assertion that the relation of thermal equilibrium is an equivalence relation. The condition justifies the use of practical thermometers.[7](p56) Equivalence relation
A thermodynamic system is by definition in its own state of internal thermodynamic equilibrium, that is to say, there is no change in its observable state (i.e. macrostate) over time and no flows occur in it. One precise statement of the zeroth law is that the relation of thermal equilibrium is an equivalence relation on pairs of thermodynamic systems.[7](p52) In other words, the set of all systems each in its own state of internal thermodynamic equilibrium may be divided into subsets in which every system belongs to one and only one subset, and is in thermal equilibrium with every other member of that subset, and is not in thermal equilibrium with a member of any other subset. This means that a unique "tag" can be assigned to every system, and if the "tags" of two systems are the same, they are in thermal equilibrium with each other, and if different, they are not. This property is used to justify the use of empirical temperature as a tagging system. Empirical temperature provides further relations of thermally equilibrated systems, such as order and continuity with regard to "hotness" or "coldness", but these are not implied by the standard statement of the zeroth law. If it is defined that a thermodynamic system is in thermal equilibrium with itself (i.e., thermal equilibrium is reflexive), then the zeroth law may be stated as follows: If a body C, be in thermal equilibrium with two other bodies, A and B, then A and B are in thermal equilibrium with one another.[8] This statement asserts that thermal equilibrium is a left-Euclidean relation between thermodynamic systems. If we also define that every thermodynamic system is in thermal equilibrium with itself, then thermal equilibrium is also a reflexive relation. Binary relations that are both reflexive and Euclidean are equivalence relations. Thus, again implicitly assuming reflexivity, the zeroth law is therefore often expressed as a right-Euclidean statement: If two systems are in thermal equilibrium with a third system, then they are in thermal equilibrium with each other.[9] One consequence of an equivalence relationship is that the equilibrium relationship is symmetric: If A is in thermal equilibrium with B, then B is in thermal equilibrium with A. Thus we may say that two systems are in thermal equilibrium with each other, or that they are in mutual equilibrium. Another consequence of equivalence is that thermal equilibrium is and occasionally expressed as such:[7](p56)[10] If A is in thermal equilibrium with B and if B is in thermal equilibrium with C, then A is in thermal equilibrium with C. A reflexive, transitive relationship does not guarantee an equivalence relationship. In order for the above statement to be true, both reflexivity and symmetry must be implicitly assumed. It is the Euclidean relationships which apply directly to thermometry. An ideal thermometer is a thermometer which does not measurably change the state of the system it is measuring. Assuming that the unchanging reading of an ideal thermometer is a valid tagging system for the equivalence classes of a set of equilibrated thermodynamic systems, then the systems are in thermal equilibrium, if a thermometer gives the same reading for each system. If the system are thermally connected, no subsequent change in the state of either one can occur. If the readings are different, then thermally connecting the two systems causes a change in the states of both systems. The zeroth law provides no information regarding this final reading. Foundation of temperature
The zeroth law establishes thermal equilibrium as an equivalence relationship. An equivalence relationship on a set (such as the set of all systems each in its own state of internal thermodynamic equilibrium) divides that set into a collection of distinct subsets ("disjoint subsets") where any member of the set is a member of one and only one such subset. In the case of the zeroth law, these subsets consist of systems which are in mutual equilibrium. This partitioning allows any member of the subset to be uniquely "tagged" with a label identifying the subset to which it belongs. Although the labeling may be quite arbitrary,[11] temperature is just such a labeling process which uses the real number system for tagging. The zeroth law justifies the use of suitable thermodynamic systems as thermometers to provide such a labeling, which yield any number of possible empirical temperature scales, and justifies the use of the second law of thermodynamics to provide an absolute, or thermodynamic temperature scale. Such temperature scales bring additional continuity and ordering (i.e., "hot" and "cold") properties to the concept of temperature.[9] In the space of thermodynamic parameters, zones of constant temperature form a surface, that provides a natural order of nearby surfaces. One may therefore construct a global temperature function that provides a continuous ordering of states. The dimensionality of a surface of constant temperature is one less than the number of thermodynamic parameters, thus, for an ideal gas described with three thermodynamic parameters P, V and N, it is a two-dimensional surface. For example, if two systems of ideal gases are in joint thermodynamic equilibrium across an immovable diathermal wall, then P1V1/N1 = P2V2/N2 where Pi is the pressure in the ith system, Vi is the volume, and Ni is the amount (in moles, or simply the number of atoms) of gas. The surface PV/N = constant defines surfaces of equal thermodynamic temperature, and one may label defining T so that PV/N = RT, where R is some constant. These systems can now be used as a thermometer to calibrate other systems. Such systems are known as "ideal gas thermometers". In a sense, focused on in the zeroth law, there is only one kind of diathermal wall or one kind of heat, as expressed by Maxwell's dictum that "All heat is of the same kind".[5] But in another sense, heat is transferred in different ranks, as expressed by Sommerfeld's dictum "Thermodynamics investigates the conditions that govern the transformation of heat into work. It teaches us to recognize temperature as the measure of the work-value of heat. Heat of higher temperature is richer, is capable of doing more work. Work may be regarded as heat of an infinitely high temperature, as unconditionally available heat"[12] This is why temperature is the particular variable indicated by the zeroth law's statement of equivalence. Physical meaning
Though the title 'zeroth law' was invented long after he was writing, Maxwell was perhaps the first to clarify its underlying physical meaning[Citation needed], in his 1871 textbook.[5] In Carathéodory's (1909)[4] theory, it is postulated that there exist walls "permeable only to heat", though heat is not explicitly defined in that paper. This postulate is a physical postulate of existence. It does not, however, as worded just previously, say that there is only one kind of heat. This paper of Carathéodory states as proviso 4 of its account of such walls: "Whenever each of the systems S1 and S2 is made to reach equilibrium with a third system S3 under identical conditions, systems S1 and S2 are in mutual equilibrium".[4][§6] It is the function of this statement in the paper, not there labeled as the zeroth law, to provide not only for the existence of transfer of energy other than by work or transfer of matter, but further to provide that such transfer is unique in the sense that there is only one kind of such wall, and one kind of such transfer. This is signaled in the postulate of this paper of Carathéodory that precisely one non-deformation variable is needed to complete the specification of a thermodynamic state, beyond the necessary deformation variables, which are not restricted in number. It is therefore not exactly clear what Carathéodory means when in the introduction of this paper he writes "It is possible to develop the whole theory without assuming the existence of heat, that is of a quantity that is of a different nature from the normal mechanical quantities.[4] Maxwell (1871)[5] discusses at some length ideas which he summarizes by the words "All heat is of the same kind".[5] Modern theorists sometimes express this idea by postulating the existence of a unique one-dimensional hotness manifold, into which every proper temperature scale has a monotonic mapping.[13] This may be expressed by the statement that there is only one kind of temperature, regardless of the variety of scales in which it is expressed. Another modern expression of this idea is that "All diathermal walls are equivalent".[6](p23) This might also be expressed by saying that there is precisely one kind of non-mechanical, non-matter-transferring contact equilibrium between thermodynamic systems. These ideas may be regarded as helping to clarify the physical meaning of the usual statement of the zeroth law of thermodynamics. It is the opinion of Lieb and Yngvason (1999)[7] that the derivation from statistical mechanics of the law of entropy increase is a goal that has so far eluded the deepest thinkers.[7](p5) Thus the idea remains open to consideration that the existence of heat and temperature are needed as coherent primitive concepts for thermodynamics, as expressed, for example, by Maxwell and Planck. On the other hand, Planck (1926)[14] clarified how the second law can be stated without reference to heat or temperature, by referring to the irreversible and universal nature of friction in natural thermodynamic processes.[14] History
According to Sommerfeld, Fowler coined the term zeroth law of thermodynamics[15] while discussing the 1935 text by Meghnad Saha and B.N. Srivastava.[16] They write on page 1 that "every physical quantity must be measurable in numerical terms". They presume that temperature is a physical quantity and then deduce the statement "If a body A is in temperature equilibrium with two bodies B and C, then B and C themselves are in temperature equilibrium with each other".[16] Then they italicize a self-standing paragraph, as if to state their basic postulate: Any of the physical properties of A which change with the application of heat may be observed and utilised for the measurement of temperature.[16] They do not themselves here use the phrase "zeroth law of thermodynamics". There are very many statements of these same physical ideas in the physics literature long before this text, in very similar language. What was new here was just the label zeroth law of thermodynamics. Fowler & Guggenheim (1936/1965)[17] wrote of the same kind"[5] Modern theorists sometimes express this idea by postulating the existence of a unique one-dimensional hotness manifold, into which every proper temperature scale has a monotonic mapping.[13] This may be expressed by the statement that there is only one kind of temperature, regardless of the variety of scales in which it is expressed. Another modern expression of this idea is that "All diathermal walls are equivalent".[6](p23) This might also be expressed by saying that there is precisely one kind of non-mechanical, non-matter-transferring contact equilibrium between thermodynamic systems. These ideas may be regarded as helping to clarify the physical meaning of the usual statement of the zeroth law of thermodynamics. It is the opinion of Lieb and Yngvason (1999)[7] that the derivation from statistical mechanics of the law of entropy increase is a goal that has so far eluded the deepest thinkers.[7](p5) Thus the idea remains open to consideration that the existence of heat and temperature are needed as coherent primitive concepts for thermodynamics, as expressed, for example, by Maxwell and Planck. On the other hand, Planck (1926)[14] clarified how the second law can be stated without reference to heat or temperature, by referring to the irreversible and universal nature of friction in natural thermodynamic processes.[14] History
According to Sommerfeld, Fowler coined the term zeroth law of thermodynamics[15] while discussing the 1935 text by Meghnad Saha and B.N. Srivastava.[16] They write on page 1 that "every physical quantity must be measurable in numerical terms". They presume that temperature is a physical quantity and then deduce the statement "If a body A is in temperature equilibrium with two bodies B and C, then B and C themselves are in temperature equilibrium with each other".[16] Then they italicize a self-standing paragraph, as if to state their basic postulate: Any of the physical properties of A which change with the application of heat may be observed and utilised for the measurement of temperature.[16] They do not themselves here use the phrase "zeroth law of thermodynamics". There are very many statements of these same physical ideas in the physics literature long before this text, in very similar language. What was new here was just the label zeroth law of thermodynamics. Fowler & Guggenheim (1936/1965)[17] wrote of the same kind"[5] Modern theorists sometimes express this idea by postulating the existence of a unique one-dimensional hotness manifold, into which every proper temperature scale has a monotonic mapping.[13] This may be expressed by the statement that there is only one kind of temperature, regardless of the variety of scales in which it is expressed. Another modern expression of this idea is that "All diathermal walls are equivalent".[6](p23) This might also be expressed by saying that there is precisely one kind of non-mechanical, non-matter-transferring contact equilibrium between thermodynamic systems. These ideas may be regarded as helping to clarify the physical meaning of the usual statement of the zeroth law of thermodynamics. It is the opinion of Lieb and Yngvason (1999)[7] that the derivation from statistical mechanics of the law of entropy increase is a goal that has so far eluded the deepest thinkers.[7](p5) Thus the idea remains open to consideration that the existence of heat and temperature are needed as coherent primitive concepts for thermodynamics, as expressed, for example, by Maxwell and Planck. On the other hand, Planck (1926)[14] clarified how the second law can be stated without reference to heat or temperature, by referring to the irreversible and universal nature of friction in natural thermodynamic processes.[14] History
According to Sommerfeld, Fowler coined the term zeroth law of thermodynamics[15] while discussing the 1935 text by Meghnad Saha and B.N. Srivastava.[16] They write on page 1 that "every physical quantity must be measurable in numerical terms". They presume that temperature is a physical quantity and then deduce the statement "If a body A is in temperature equilibrium with two bodies B and C, then B and C themselves are in temperature equilibrium with each other".[16] Then they italicize a self-standing paragraph, as if to state their basic postulate: Any of the physical properties of A which change with the application of heat may be observed and utilised for the measurement of temperature.[16] They do not themselves here use the phrase "zeroth law of thermodynamics". There are very many statements of these same physical ideas in the physics literature long before this text, in very similar language. What was new here was just the label zeroth law of thermodynamics. Fowler & Guggenheim (1936/1965)[17] wrote of the same kind"[5] Modern theorists sometimes express this idea by postulating the existence of a unique one-dimensional hotness manifold, into which every proper temperature scale has a monotonic mapping.[13] This may be expressed by the statement that there is only one kind of temperature, regardless of the variety of scales in which it is expressed. Another modern expression of this idea is that "All diathermal walls are equivalent".[6](p23) This might also be expressed by saying that there is precisely one kind of non-mechanical, non-matter-transferring contact equilibrium between thermodynamic systems. These ideas may be regarded as helping to clarify the physical meaning of the usual statement of the zeroth law of thermodynamics. It is the opinion of Lieb and Yngvason (1999)[7] that the derivation from statistical mechanics of the law of entropy increase is a goal that has so far eluded the deepest thinkers.[7](p5) Thus the idea remains open to consideration that the existence of heat and temperature are needed as coherent primitive concepts for thermodynamics, as expressed, for example, by Maxwell and Planck. On the other hand, Planck (1926)[14] clarified how the second law can be stated without reference to heat or temperature, by referring to the irreversible and universal nature of friction in natural thermodynamic processes.[14] History
According to Sommerfeld, Fowler coined the term zeroth law of thermodynamics[15] while discussing the 1935 text by Meghnad Saha and B.N. Srivastava.[16] They write on page 1 that "every physical quantity must be measurable in numerical terms". They presume that temperature is a physical quantity and then deduce the statement "If a body A is in temperature equilibrium with two bodies B and C, then B and C themselves are in temperature equilibrium with each other".[16] Then they italicize a self-standing paragraph, as if to state their basic postulate: Any of the physical properties of A which change with the application of heat may be observed and utilised for the measurement of temperature.[16] They do not themselves here use the phrase "zeroth law of thermodynamics". There are very many statements of these same physical ideas in the physics literature long before this text, in very similar language. What was new here was just the label zeroth law of thermodynamics. Fowler & Guggenheim (1936/1965)[17] wrote of the same kind"[5] Modern theorists sometimes express this idea by postulating the existence of a unique one-dimensional hotness manifold, into which every proper temperature scale has a monotonic mapping.[13] This may be expressed by the statement that there is only one kind of temperature, regardless of the variety of scales in which it is expressed. Another modern expression of this idea is that "All diathermal walls are equivalent".[6](p23) This might also be expressed by saying that there is precisely one kind of non-mechanical, non-matter-transferring contact equilibrium between thermodynamic systems. These ideas may be regarded as helping to clarify the physical meaning of the usual statement of the zeroth law of thermodynamics. It is the opinion of Lieb and Yngvason (1999)[7] that the derivation from statistical mechanics of the law of entropy increase is a goal that has so far eluded the deepest thinkers.[7](p5) Thus the idea remains open to consideration that the existence of heat and temperature are needed as coherent primitive concepts for thermodynamics, as expressed, for example, by Maxwell and Planck. On the other hand, Planck (1926)[14] clarified how the second law can be stated without reference to heat or temperature, by referring to the irreversible and universal nature of friction in natural thermodynamic processes.[14] History
According to Sommerfeld, Fowler coined the term zeroth law of thermodynamics[15] while discussing the 1935 text by Meghnad Saha and B.N. Srivastava.[16] They write on page 1 that "every physical quantity must be measurable in numerical terms". They presume that temperature is a physical quantity and then deduce the statement "If a body A is in temperature equilibrium with two bodies B and C, then B and C themselves are in temperature equilibrium with each other".[16] Then they italicize a self-standing paragraph, as if to state their basic postulate: Any of the physical properties of A which change with the application of heat may be observed and utilised for the measurement of temperature.[16] They do not themselves here use the phrase "zeroth law of thermodynamics". There are very many statements of these same physical ideas in the physics literature long before this text, in very similar language. What was new here was just the label zeroth law of thermodynamics. Fowler & Guggenheim (1936/1965)[17] wrote of the same kind"[5] Modern theorists sometimes express this idea by postulating the existence of a unique one-dimensional hotness manifold, into which every proper temperature scale has a monotonic mapping.[13] This may be expressed by the statement that there is only one kind of temperature, regardless of the variety of scales in which it is expressed. Another modern expression of this idea is that "All diathermal walls are equivalent".[6](p23) This might also be expressed by saying that there is precisely one kind of non-mechanical, non-matter-transferring contact equilibrium between thermodynamic systems. These ideas may be regarded as helping to clarify the physical meaning of the usual statement of the zeroth law of thermodynamics. It is the opinion of Lieb and Yngvason (1999)[7] that the derivation from statistical mechanics of the law of entropy increase is a goal that has so far eluded the deepest thinkers.[7](p5) Thus the idea remains open to consideration that the existence of heat and temperature are needed as coherent primitive concepts for thermodynamics, as expressed, for example, by Maxwell and Planck. On the other hand, Planck (1926)[14] clarified how the second law can be stated without reference to heat or temperature, by referring to the irreversible and universal nature of friction in natural thermodynamic processes.[14] History
According to Sommerfeld, Fowler coined the term zeroth law of thermodynamics[15] while discussing the 1935 text by Meghnad Saha and B.N. Srivastava.[16] They write on page 1 that "every physical quantity must be measurable in numerical terms". They presume that temperature is a physical quantity and then deduce the statement "If a body A is in temperature equilibrium with two bodies B and C, then B and C themselves are in temperature equilibrium with each other".[16] Then they italicize a self-standing paragraph, as if to state their basic postulate: Any of the physical properties of A which change with the application of heat may be observed and utilised for the measurement of temperature.[16] They do not themselves here use the phrase "zeroth law of thermodynamics". There are very many statements of these same physical ideas in the physics literature long before this text, in very similar language. What was new here was just the label zeroth law of thermodynamics. Fowler & Guggenheim (1936/1965)[17] wrote of the same kind"[5] Modern theorists sometimes express this idea by postulating the existence of a unique one-dimensional hotness manifold, into which every proper temperature scale has a monotonic mapping.[13] This may be expressed by the statement that there is only one kind of temperature, regardless of the variety of scales in which it is expressed. Another modern expression of this idea is that "All diathermal walls are equivalent".[6](p23) This might also be expressed by saying that there is precisely one kind of non-mechanical, non-matter-transferring contact equilibrium between thermodynamic systems. These ideas may be regarded as helping to clarify the physical meaning of the usual statement of the zeroth law of thermodynamics. It is the opinion of Lieb and Yngvason (1999)[7] that the derivation from statistical mechanics of the law of entropy increase is a goal that has so far eluded the deepest thinkers.[7](p5) Thus the idea remains open to consideration that the existence of heat and temperature are needed as coherent primitive concepts for thermodynamics, as expressed, for example, by Maxwell and Planck. On the other hand, Planck (1926)[14] clarified how the second law can be stated without reference to heat or temperature, by referring to the irreversible and universal nature of friction in natural thermodynamic processes.[14] History
According to Sommerfeld, Fowler coined the term zeroth law of thermodynamics[15] while discussing the 1935 text by Meghnad Saha and B.N. Srivastava.[16] They write on page 1 that "every physical quantity must be measurable in numerical terms". They presume that temperature is a physical quantity and then deduce the statement "If a body A is in temperature equilibrium with two bodies B and C, then B and C themselves are in temperature equilibrium with each other".[16] Then they italicize a self-standing paragraph, as if to state their basic postulate: Any of the physical properties of A which change with the application of heat may be observed and utilised for the measurement of temperature.[16] They do not themselves here use the phrase "zeroth law of thermodynamics". There are very many statements of these same physical ideas in the physics literature long before this text, in very similar language. What was new here was just the label zeroth law of thermodynamics. Fowler & Guggenheim (1936/1965)[17] wrote of the same kind"[5] Modern theorists sometimes express this idea by postulating the existence of a unique one-dimensional hotness manifold, into which every proper temperature scale has a monotonic mapping.[13] This may be expressed by the statement that there is only one kind of temperature, regardless of the variety of scales in which it is expressed. Another modern expression of this idea is that "All diathermal walls are equivalent".[6](p23) This might also be expressed by saying that there is precisely one kind of non-mechanical, non-matter-transferring contact equilibrium between thermodynamic systems. These ideas may be regarded as helping to clarify the physical meaning of the usual statement of the zeroth law of thermodynamics. It is the opinion of Lieb and Yngvason (1999)[7] that the derivation from statistical mechanics of the law of entropy increase is a goal that has so far eluded the deepest thinkers.[7](p5) Thus the idea remains open to consideration that the existence of heat and temperature are needed as coherent primitive concepts for thermodynamics, as expressed, for example, by Maxwell and Planck. On the other hand, Planck (1926)[14] clarified how the second law can be stated without reference to heat or temperature, by referring to the irreversible and universal nature of friction in natural thermodynamic processes.[14] History
According to Sommerfeld, Fowler coined the term zeroth law of thermodynamics[15] while discussing the 1935 text by Meghnad Saha and B.N. Srivastava.[16] They write on page 1 that "every physical quantity must be measurable in numerical terms". They presume that temperature is a physical quantity and then deduce the statement "If a body A is in temperature equilibrium with two bodies B and C, then B and C themselves are in temperature equilibrium with each other".[16] Then they italicize a self-standing paragraph, as if to state their basic postulate: Any of the physical properties of A which change with the application of heat may be observed and utilised for the measurement of temperature.[16] They do not themselves here use the phrase "zeroth law of thermodynamics". There are very many statements of these same physical ideas in the physics literature long before this text, in very similar language. What was new here was just the label zeroth law of thermodynamics. Fowler & Guggenheim (1936/1965)[17] wrote of the same kind"[5] Modern theorists sometimes express this idea by postulating the existence of a unique one-dimensional hotness manifold, into which every proper temperature scale has a monotonic mapping.[13] This may be expressed by the statement that there is only one kind of temperature, regardless of the variety of scales in which it is expressed. Another modern expression of this idea is that "All diathermal walls are equivalent".[6](p23) This might also be expressed by saying that there is precisely one kind of non-mechanical, non-matter-transferring contact equilibrium between thermodynamic systems. These ideas may be regarded as helping to clarify the physical meaning of the usual statement of the zeroth law of thermodynamics. It is the opinion of Lieb and Yngvason (1999)[7] that the derivation from statistical mechanics of the law of entropy increase is a goal that has so far eluded the deepest thinkers.[7](p5) Thus the idea remains open to consideration that the existence of heat and temperature are needed as coherent primitive concepts for thermodynamics, as expressed, for example, by Maxwell and Planck. On the other hand, Planck (1926)[14] clarified how the second law can be stated without reference to heat or temperature, by referring to the irreversible and universal nature of friction in natural thermodynamic processes.[14] History
According to Sommerfeld, Fowler coined the term zeroth law of thermodynamics[15] while discussing the 1935 text by Meghnad Saha and B.N. Srivastava.[16] They write on page 1 that "every physical quantity must be measurable in numerical terms". They presume that temperature is a physical quantity and then deduce the statement "If a body A is in temperature equilibrium with two bodies B and C, then B and C themselves are in temperature equilibrium with each other".[16] Then they italicize a self-standing paragraph, as if to state their basic postulate: Any of the physical properties of A which change with the application of heat may be observed and utilised for the measurement of temperature.[16] They do not themselves here use the phrase "zeroth law of thermodynamics". There are very many statements of these same physical ideas in the physics literature long before this text, in very similar language. What was new here was just the label zeroth law of thermodynamics. Fowler & Guggenheim (1936/1965)[17] wrote of the same kind"[5] Modern theorists sometimes express this idea by postulating the existence of a unique one-dimensional hotness manifold, into which every proper temperature scale has a monotonic mapping.[13] This may be expressed by the statement that there is only one kind of temperature, regardless of the variety of scales in which it is expressed. Another modern expression of this idea is that "All diathermal walls are equivalent".[6](p23) This might also be expressed by saying that there is precisely one kind of non-mechanical, non-matter-transferring contact equilibrium between thermodynamic systems. These ideas may be regarded as helping to clarify the physical meaning of the usual statement of the zeroth law of thermodynamics. It is the opinion of Lieb and Yngvason (1999)[7] that the derivation from statistical mechanics of the law of entropy increase is a goal that has so far eluded the deepest thinkers.[7](p5) Thus the idea remains open to consideration that the existence of heat and temperature are needed as coherent primitive concepts for thermodynamics, as expressed, for example, by Maxwell and Planck. On the other hand, Planck (1926)[14] clarified how the second law can be stated without reference to heat or temperature, by referring to the irreversible and universal nature of friction in natural thermodynamic processes.[14] History
According to Sommerfeld, Fowler coined the term zeroth law of thermodynamics[15] while discussing the 1935 text by Meghnad Saha and B.N. Srivastava.[16] They write on page 1 that "every physical quantity must be measurable in numerical terms". They presume that temperature is a physical quantity and then deduce the statement "If a body A is in temperature equilibrium with two bodies B and C, then B and C themselves are in temperature equilibrium with each other".[16] Then they italicize a self-standing paragraph, as if to state their basic postulate: Any of the physical properties of A which change with the application of heat may be observed and utilised for the measurement of temperature.[16] They do not themselves here use the phrase "zeroth law of thermodynamics". There are very many statements of these same physical ideas in the physics literature long before this text, in very similar language. What was new here was just the label zeroth law of thermodynamics. Fowler & Guggenheim (1936/1965)[17] wrote of the same kind"[5] Modern theorists sometimes express this idea by postulating the existence of a unique one-dimensional hotness manifold, into which every proper temperature scale has a monotonic mapping.[13] This may be expressed by the statement that there is only one kind of temperature, regardless of the variety of scales in which it is expressed. Another modern expression of this idea is that "All diathermal walls are equivalent".[6](p23) This might also be expressed by saying that there is precisely one kind of non-mechanical, non-matter-transferring contact equilibrium between thermodynamic systems. These ideas may be regarded as helping to clarify the physical meaning of the usual statement of the zeroth law of thermodynamics. It is the opinion of Lieb and Yngvason (1999)[7] that the derivation from statistical mechanics of the law of entropy increase is a goal that has so far eluded the deepest thinkers.[7](p5) Thus the idea remains open to consideration that the existence of heat and temperature are needed as coherent primitive concepts for thermodynamics, as expressed, for example, by Maxwell and Planck. On the other hand, Planck (1926)[14] clarified how the second law can be stated without reference to heat or temperature, by referring to the irreversible and universal nature of friction in natural thermodynamic processes.[14] History
According to Sommerfeld, Fowler coined the term zeroth law of thermodynamics[15] while discussing the 1935 text by Meghnad Saha and B.N. Srivastava.[16] They write on page 1 that "every physical quantity must be measurable in numerical terms". They presume that temperature is a physical quantity and then deduce the statement "If a body A is in temperature equilibrium with two bodies B and C, then B and C themselves are in temperature equilibrium with each other".[16] Then they italicize a self-standing paragraph, as if to state their basic postulate: Any of the physical properties of A which change with the application of heat may be observed and utilised for the measurement of temperature.[16] They do not themselves here use the phrase "zeroth law of thermodynamics". There are very many statements of these same physical ideas in the physics literature long before this text, in very similar language. What was new here was just the label zeroth law of thermodynamics. Fowler & Guggenheim (1936/1965)[17] wrote of the same kind"[5] Modern theorists sometimes express this idea by postulating the existence of a unique one-dimensional hotness manifold, into which every proper temperature scale has a monotonic mapping.[13] This may be expressed by the statement that there is only one kind of temperature, regardless of the variety of scales in which it is expressed. Another modern expression of this idea is that "All diathermal walls are equivalent".[6](p23) This might also be expressed by saying that there is precisely one kind of non-mechanical, non-matter-transferring contact equilibrium between thermodynamic systems. These ideas may be regarded as helping to clarify the physical meaning of the usual statement of the zeroth law of thermodynamics. It is the opinion of Lieb and Yngvason (1999)[7] that the derivation from statistical mechanics of the law of entropy increase is a goal that has so far eluded the deepest thinkers.[7](p5) Thus the idea remains open to consideration that the existence of heat and temperature are needed as coherent primitive concepts for thermodynamics, as expressed, for example, by Maxwell and Planck. On the other hand, Planck (1926)[14] clarified how the second law can be stated without reference to heat or temperature, by referring to the irreversible and universal nature of friction in natural thermodynamic processes.[14] History
According to Sommerfeld, Fowler coined the term zeroth law of thermodynamics[15] while discussing the 1935 text by Meghnad Saha and B.N. Srivastava.[16] They write on page 1 that "every physical quantity must be measurable in numerical terms". They presume that temperature is a physical quantity and then deduce the statement "If a body A is in temperature equilibrium with two bodies B and C, then B and C themselves are in temperature equilibrium with each other".[16] Then they italicize a self-standing paragraph, as if to state their basic postulate: Any of the physical properties of A which change with the application of heat may be observed and utilised for the measurement of temperature.[16] They do not themselves here use the phrase "zeroth law of thermodynamics". There are very many statements of these same physical ideas in the physics literature long before this text, in very similar language. What was new here was just the label zeroth law of thermodynamics. Fowler & Guggenheim (1936/1965)[17] wrote of the same kind"[5] Modern theorists sometimes express this idea by postulating the existence of a unique one-dimensional hotness manifold, into which every proper temperature scale has a monotonic mapping.[13] This may be expressed by the statement that there is only one kind of temperature, regardless of the variety of scales in which it is expressed. Another modern expression of this idea is that "All diathermal walls are equivalent".[6](p23) This might also be expressed by saying that there is precisely one kind of non-mechanical, non-matter-transferring contact equilibrium between thermodynamic systems. These ideas may be regarded as helping to clarify the physical meaning of the usual statement of the zeroth law of thermodynamics. It is the opinion of Lieb and Yngvason (1999)[7] that the derivation from statistical mechanics of the law of entropy increase is a goal that has so far eluded the deepest thinkers.[7](p5) Thus the idea remains open to consideration that the existence of heat and temperature are needed as coherent primitive concepts for thermodynamics, as expressed, for example, by Maxwell and Planck. On the other hand, Planck (1926)[14] clarified how the second law can be stated without reference to heat or temperature, by referring to the irreversible and universal nature of friction in natural thermodynamic processes.[14] History
According to Sommerfeld, Fowler coined the term zeroth law of thermodynamics[15] while discussing the 1935 text by Meghnad Saha and B.N. Srivastava.[16] They write on page 1 that "every physical quantity must be measurable in numerical terms". They presume that temperature is a physical quantity and then deduce the statement "If a body A is in temperature equilibrium with two bodies B and C, then B and C themselves are in temperature equilibrium with each other".[16] Then they italicize a self-standing paragraph, as if to state their basic postulate: Any of the physical properties of A which change with the application of heat may be observed and utilised for the measurement of temperature.[16] They do not themselves here use the phrase "zeroth law of thermodynamics". There are very many statements of these same physical ideas in the physics literature long before this text, in very similar language. What was new here was just the label zeroth law of thermodynamics. Fowler & Guggenheim (1936/1965)[17] wrote of the same kind"[5] Modern theorists sometimes express this idea by postulating the existence of a unique one-dimensional hotness manifold, into which every proper temperature scale has a monotonic mapping.[13] This may be expressed by the statement that there is only one kind of temperature, regardless of the variety of scales in which it is expressed. Another modern expression of this idea is that "All diathermal walls are equivalent".[6](p23) This might also be expressed by saying that there is precisely one kind of non-mechanical, non-matter-transferring contact equilibrium between thermodynamic systems. These ideas may be regarded as helping to clarify the physical meaning of the usual statement of the zeroth law of thermodynamics. It is the opinion of Lieb and Yngvason (1999)[7] that the derivation from statistical mechanics of the law of entropy increase is a goal that has so far eluded the deepest thinkers.[7](p5) Thus the idea remains open to consideration that the existence of heat and temperature are needed as coherent primitive concepts for thermodynamics, as expressed, for example, by Maxwell and Planck. On the other hand, Planck (1926)[14] clarified how the second law can be stated without reference to heat or temperature, by referring to the irreversible and universal nature of friction in natural thermodynamic processes.[14] History
According to Sommerfeld, Fowler coined the term zeroth law of thermodynamics[15] while discussing the 1935 text by Meghnad Saha and B.N. Srivastava.[16] They write on page 1 that "every physical quantity must be measurable in numerical terms". They presume that temperature is a physical quantity and then deduce the statement "If a body A is in temperature equilibrium with two bodies B and C, then B and C themselves are in temperature equilibrium with each other".[16] Then they italicize a self-standing paragraph, as if to state their basic postulate: Any of the physical properties of A which change with the application of heat may be observed and utilised for the measurement of temperature.[16] They do not themselves here use the phrase "zeroth law of thermodynamics". There are very many statements of these same physical ideas in the physics literature long before this text, in very similar language. What was new here was just the label zeroth law of thermodynamics. Fowler & Guggenheim (1936/1965)[17] wrote of the same kind"[5] Modern theorists sometimes express this idea by postulating the existence of a unique one-dimensional hotness manifold, into which every proper temperature scale has a monotonic mapping.[13] This may be expressed by the statement that there is only one kind of temperature, regardless of the variety of scales in which it is expressed. Another modern expression of this idea is that "All diathermal walls are equivalent".[6](p23) This might also be expressed by saying that there is precisely one kind of non-mechanical, non-matter-transferring contact equilibrium between thermodynamic systems. These ideas may be regarded as helping to clarify the physical meaning of the usual statement of the zeroth law of thermodynamics. It is the opinion of Lieb and Yngvason (1999)[7] that the derivation from statistical mechanics of the law of entropy increase is a goal that has so far eluded the deepest thinkers.[7](p5) Thus the idea remains open to consideration that the existence of heat and temperature are needed as coherent primitive concepts for thermodynamics, as expressed, for example, by Maxwell and Planck. On the other hand, Planck (1926)[14] clarified how the second law can be stated without reference to heat or temperature, by referring to the irreversible and universal nature of friction in natural thermodynamic processes.[14] History
According to Sommerfeld, Fowler coined the term zeroth law of thermodynamics[15] while discussing the 1935 text by Meghnad Saha and B.N. Srivastava.[16] They write on page 1 that "every physical quantity must be measurable in numerical terms". They presume that temperature is a physical quantity and then deduce the statement "If a body A is in temperature equilibrium with two bodies B and C, then B and C themselves are in temperature equilibrium with each other".[16] Then they italicize a self-standing paragraph, as if to state their basic postulate: Any of the physical properties of A which change with the application of heat may be observed and utilised for the measurement of temperature.[16] They do not themselves here use the phrase "zeroth law of thermodynamics". There are very many statements of these same physical ideas in the physics literature long before this text, in very similar language. What was new here was just the label zeroth law of thermodynamics. Fowler & Guggenheim (1936/1965)[17] wrote of the same kind"[5] Modern theorists sometimes express this idea by postulating the existence of a unique one-dimensional hotness manifold, into which every proper temperature scale has a monotonic mapping.[13] This may be expressed by the statement that there is only one kind of temperature, regardless of the variety of scales in which it is expressed. Another modern expression of this idea is that "All diathermal walls are equivalent".[6](p23) This might also be expressed by saying that there is precisely one kind of non-mechanical, non-matter-transferring contact equilibrium between thermodynamic systems. These ideas may be regarded as helping to clarify the physical meaning of the usual statement of the zeroth law of thermodynamics. It is the opinion of Lieb and Yngvason (1999)[7] that the derivation from statistical mechanics of the law of entropy increase is a goal that has so far eluded the deepest thinkers.[7](p5) Thus the idea remains open to consideration that the existence of heat and temperature are needed as coherent primitive concepts for thermodynamics, as expressed, for example, by Maxwell and Planck. On the other hand, Planck (1926)[14] clarified how the second law can be stated without reference to heat or temperature, by referring to the irreversible and universal nature of friction in natural thermodynamic processes.[14] History
According to Sommerfeld, Fowler coined the term zeroth law of thermodynamics[15] while discussing the 1935 text by Meghnad Saha and B.N. Srivastava.[16] They write on page 1 that "every physical quantity must be measurable in numerical terms". They presume that temperature is a physical quantity and then deduce the statement "If a body A is in temperature equilibrium with two bodies B and C, then B and C themselves are in temperature equilibrium with each other".[16] Then they italicize a self-standing paragraph, as if to state their basic postulate: Any of the physical properties of A which change with the application of heat may be observed and utilised for the measurement of temperature.[16] They do not themselves here use the phrase "zeroth law of thermodynamics". There are very many statements of these same physical ideas in the physics literature long before this text, in very similar language. What was new here was just the label zeroth law of thermodynamics. Fowler & Guggenheim (1936/1965)[17] wrote of the same kind"[5] Modern theorists sometimes express this idea by postulating the existence of a unique one-dimensional hotness manifold, into which every proper temperature scale has a monotonic mapping.[13] This may be expressed by the statement that there is only one kind of temperature, regardless of the variety of scales in which it is expressed. Another modern expression of this idea is that "All diathermal walls are equivalent".[6](p23) This might also be expressed by saying that there is precisely one kind of non-mechanical, non-matter-transferring contact equilibrium between thermodynamic systems. These ideas may be regarded as helping to clarify the physical meaning of the usual